



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/800,767	03/08/2001	Mark A. Jones	2000-0164	3904
22907 7	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF			PEIKARI, BEHZAD	
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2186	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/800,767	JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	B. James Peikari	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	arch 2001.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,29 and 58</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1,29 and 58</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>2-28,30-57 and 59-72</u> is/are objected	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-28,30-57 and 59-72</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
- (a) In elements 105, 110, 205 and 210, the dark shading is improper since it reduces legibility. Note 37 CFR 1.84(m).
- (b) The view numbers must be rewritten. For example, "Fig. 1" should be rewritten as "FIG. 1", etc. Note 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,767 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

## Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested, "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR USING XML FOR BOTH A PROTOCOL LAYER AND APPLICATION LAYER".

- 3. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. For example:
  - (a) "MASP" should be defined at its first occurrence on page 2.
  - (b) In the Abstract, on line 6, "." should replace ".."

# Requirement for Information

- 4. An issue of public use or on sale activity has been raised in this application.
- (a) In the Information Disclosure Statement filed on September 6, 2001, the prior art article "Using XML in the MASP Client-Server Protocol" is listed with a date of February 20, 2001.
  - (b) However, the date on the printed copy of the article is February 12, 2001.
- (c) Furthermore, the provisional application number 60/188,992, having a filing date of March 13, 200, contains this same article with a print date of January 10, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,767 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

(d) On May 2, 2000, inventor Mark Jones posted a message to a public website containing a description of "MASP" (copy attached to this Office action), including:

- (1) A description of the invention.
- (2) A statement suggesting that the invention was reduced to practice two years earlier (e.g. May 2, 1998).
- (3) A statement that MASP had already been used by "other users" for a variety of applications.

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application. In order for the examiner to properly consider patentability of the claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), additional information regarding this issue is required as follows:

- (a) When and where the article "Using XML in the MASP Client-Server Protocol" was first submitted for review.
- (b) When and where the article "Using XML in the MASP Client-Server Protocol" was *first* published.
- (c) The dates and circumstances of each public disclosure of the invention prior to March 13, 2000, including at least: to whom the invention was disclosed, whether non-disclosure agreements were signed, and what was presented in each disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,767 Page 5

Art Unit: 2186

(d) Who were the "other users" and when and for what applications was the MASP used.

- (e) Whether the use for "enterprise messaging applications at AT&T" or any of the other applications including "MASP client libraries and applications in Java and Perl" provided any direct or indirect commercial advantage to the assignee (e.g., faster response to clients, or the ability to complete a contracted project faster, etc.)
- (f) Any preliminary versions of and XML-based transfer protocol created by the employees of the assignee or by the inventors and when and where any public disclosures of such were made.

The information is required for the examiner to determine whether an on sale or public use bar exists, or, in the alternative, whether suppression and/or concealment occurred. Applicant is reminded that failure to fully reply to this requirement for information will result in a holding of abandonment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2186

6. Claims 1, 29 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Dodrill et al., U.S. 6,490,564.

Dodrill et al. taught the independent claims in a system utilizing XML in both a document layer and an application layer. Note Figure 7.

7. Claims 2-28, 30-57 and 59-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

#### Conclusion

- 8. It is noted that if the independent claims were amended to recite that both the document later and the application layer have an *entirely* XML-based structure, as stated in the web posting by Mark Jones (copy attached hereto), the claims would then overcome the rejection above.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (703) 305-3824. The examiner is generally available between 8:00 am and 9:30 pm, EST, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,767

Art Unit: 2186

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official communications)

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for Informal or Draft communications)

or:

(703) 746-7238 (for After-Final communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

9/4/04